



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/121,030 07/22/98 ALPEROVICH

V 27943-00200U

EXAMINER

TM02/0808

RAYMOND VAN DYKE
JENKINS & GILCHRIST
3200 FOUNTAIN PLACE
1445 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS TX 75202-2799

CONTEE, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2681

DATE MAILED:

08/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/121,030

Applicant(s)

Alperovich et al.

Examiner

Joy K. Contee

Group Art Unit
2681



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Roger Burleigh

(3) Joy Contee

(2) Dwayne Bost

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 6, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Dahlin, U.S. Patent No. 6,122,263

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Distinguishing features between the Dahlin reference and the present invention were discussed.

After discussion, it is agreed, for example, amended claim 1 is drawn toward the scope of original dependent claim 2. The application of new art for rejecting the claims (e.g., claim 1), in the final rejection was improper. Therefore, the outstanding office action is hereby vacated. There is no time running against the applicant. A new office action will be forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Joy K. Contee
JOY K. CONTEE
PATENT EXAMINER
7/308-0149

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.